## Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of\_\_\_\_

## Implementation Petition No. 1257/2024

| S.No. | Date of order<br>proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge   |  |  |
|-------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1     | 2                            | 3  |  |  |
| 1     | 22.10.20 <b>2</b> 4          | The implementation petition of Mr. Muhammad  |  |  |
|       |                              | Rehman received today by registered post through   |  |  |
|       |                              | Sardar Muhammad Irshad Advocate. It is fixed for   |  |  |
|       |                              | implementation report before touring Single Bench<br>A.Abad on 30.10.2024. Original file be requisitione |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              | AAG has noted the next date. Counsel for the petitione   |  |  |
|       |                              | has been informed telephonically.  |  |  |
|       |                              | By order of the Chairman   |  |  |
|       |                              | REGETHAR   |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       | •                            |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              | ,  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |
|       |                              |  |  |  |

# BEFORE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

E.P. No. 1257

Implementation in Service Appeal No.1118/2017

Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commission/Registrar Consumer Court Abbottabad......Petitioner

# V/s

Govt. of KPK and others..... Respondents

# **INDEX**

| S.No | Description of Documents       | Annexure! | Page |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1    | Application for implementation | _         | 1-3  |
| 2    | Affidavit                      | -         | 4    |
| 3    | Judgment                       | -         | 5-10 |
| 4    | Vakalamamah                    | -         | 11   |

Through:-

(Sardar Muhammad Irshad) Advocate High Court 1A Gulistan Colony College Road Abbottabad Cell:+92343-3326000 Email: <u>Sardarmuhammadirshad7@gmail.com</u>

# BEFORE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Nhyber Pakhrukhwa E.P. NO.1257 2024 Diary No. 16991 Implementation Dated 22-10-24 in Service Arrived

Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commission/Registrar Consumer Court Abbottabad......Petitioner

## V/s

- 1. Govt. of KPK through Chief Secretary KPK Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Establishment Department Regulation Wing KPK, Peshawar.
- 3. Secretary Finance KPK Peshawar
- 4. SMBR KPK Peshawar
- 5. Accountant General KPK Peshawar through District Accounts Officer Abbottabad
- 6. Commission Hazara Division, Abbottabad
- 7. Deputy Commissioner Kohistan..... Respondents

# APPLICATIONFORIMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTIONOFJUDGMENT/ORDER18/11/2021INAPPEALNUMBER1118/2017.

#### **Respectfully Sheweth:-**

The petitioner submits as under:-

- That the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Commissioner/ ilaqa Qazi on 29/05/2012 along with 7 other persons.
- That the petitioner along with other 7 persons were regularized in pursuance of KPK Extra Assistant Commissioner cum ilaqa Qazi (Regularization of services) Act, 2012.
- 3. That all the other 7 persons regularized with petitioner were granted annual increments from date of their appointment to the



date of their regularization in 2012 but no annual increment was granted to the petitioner and his salary was fixed in BPS-17 at its initial stage which obliged the petitioner to file Service Appeal No.1118/2017.

4. That this Honorable Tribunal has been pleased to accept petitioner's appeal with the following direction:-

"Needless to say that the service of the appellant being continuous since his appointment in the year 1995 till his regularization vide notification dated 29.05.2012 has not been denied by the respondents. The regularization of the appointment of the appellant has taken effect on 17.03.2012 in continuity of his contractual service which cannot be envisaged as lacking the benefits of pay protection and pension etc. simply on whim of the respondents otherwise that regularization notification is not speaking so expressly. The government departments ate supposed to be vigilant about the ground policy matters settled through judicial pronouncements to give relief to the government servants accordingly without compelling them to have resort to litigation. Such a lack of vigilance on part of government departments ultimately result into multiplicity of proceedings before judicial forums at the cost of incontinence of employees on one hand and also unnecessarily result in increase of workload on the other hand. We therefore, hold that all the increments earned by appellant over period of his



contractual service are countable as part of his salary at the time of regularization of the appointment made vide notification dated 29.12.2012. The appellant is held entitled for relief of increments in the given terms. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room".

5. That the petitioner earlier filed implementation application but on assurance of respondents to redress his grievances the same was withdrawn. Respondents did not implement the order contained in aforesaid appeal hence the petitioner constrained to file this application afresh.

It is, therefore, prayed that this Honorable Tribunal may graciously be pleased to direct Respondents to implement/ execute judgment dated 18/11/2021 passed in Appeal No.1118/2017 in the interest of justice.

Through:-

(Sardar Muhammad Irshad) Advocate High Court 1A Gulistan Colony College Road Abbottabad Cell#+92343-3326000 Email:Sardarmuhammadirshad7@gmail.com

# BEFORE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

ŗ.,

Implementation in Service Appeal No.1118/2017

Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commission/Registrar Consumer Court Abbottabad......Petitioner

## V/s

Govt. of KPK and others. ..... Respondents

#### <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commission/Registrar Consumer Court petitioner do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the accompanying application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

VERIFICATION

Verified on Oath at Abbottabad on <u>day</u> of October 2024 that the contents of above affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

eponenť

BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN SERVICE TRIBUNAT KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR \*

Service Appeal No. 1118 /2017

Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commissioner District Kohistan.

APPELLANT Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal Diars No. 1107

Tkninky

ev<sub>lanar</sub>

### VERSUS

- Govt: of KPK through Chief Secretary KPK Peshawar.
- Secretary Establishment Department Regulation Wing KPK, Peshawar.
- Secretary Finance KPK Peshawar."
- 4. SMBR KPK Peshawar.
- 5. Accountant General KPK Peshawar.
- 6. Commissioner Hazar Division, Abbottabad.
- 7. Deputy Commissioner, Kohistan.

HNER Khinki Yii

RESPONDENTS

Filed+--day )19

1.

3.

Re-submitted to -day. and filed.



SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974, FOR DECLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT THE



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR. (Camp Court, Abbottabad)

Appeal No. 1118/2017

Date of Institution ... 27.09.2017

Date of Decision ... 18.11.2021



Muhammad Rahman Additional Assistant Commissioner District Kohistan. ... (Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Peshawar and others. ...(Respondents)

Present.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad Tanoli, Advoćate

Mr. Muhammad Rasheed, Deputy District Attorney, For appellant.

For respondents.

MR. AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN ROZINA REHMAN,

CHAIRMAN MEMBER(J)

#### JUDGMENT



AHMAD SULTAN TAREEN, CHAIRMAN:- The appellant through the appeal prescribed above in the heading has invoked the jurisdiction of this Tribunal seeking relief based on the prayer copied herein below:-

"On acceptance of the instant service appeal, respondents may graciously be directed to fix salary of the appellant as 28000/instead of 16000/-, and not to recover a sum of Rs. 77612/which has been recovered from monthly salary of the appellant may also be refunded. Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems appropriate in the circumstances may also be granted."



2. The appellant in order to make out a case for the relief as per prayer copied above stated in the factual part of the appeal that he was appointed as Assistant Commissioner/Illaga Qazi vide notification No. SOS-

II(S&GAD)2(9)95-II-dated-23:07:1995-He-annexed the copy of notification 29.05.2012 -SOE=II(ED)2(9)/2010/Vol-II, dated alongwith his memorandum of appeal. Accordingly appointment of the appellant among others was regularized in pursuance to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Extra-Assistant Commissioner -cum-Illaqa Qazi (Regularization of Services) Act, 2012. It was provided in the said Act that notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any law or rules or an order or judgment of a Court, employees appointed by Government, before the commencement of this Act, shall, for all intents and purposes, be deemed to have been validly appointed on regular basis with immediate effect on commencement of this Act and they shall be deemed to be Civil Servants for the purposes of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Act No. XVIII of 1973) and the rules made there-under. According to the appellant, the respondent department allowed annual increments to seven other similarly placed employees followed by issuing of LPC to them with addition of annual increments from 2003 to 2012; whereas, LPC as issued to the appellant was missing annual increments w.e.f. 2003 to 2012. On the basis of LPC, monthly salary of the appellant was fixed in BPS-17 at its initial stage i.e. 16000/- Per Month whereas salary of other similarly placed employees were fixed in BPS-17 on running basis i.e Rs. 28000/- per month. Accordingly, the appellant was meted out with discriminatory treatment in violation of Article 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan. The appellant also referred to some recovery from his monthly salary vide order dated 15.08.2003. With the given factual account, the submissions made by the appellant in his appeal include among other that the law demand that similarly placed employees may be treated alike and no one may be discriminated; that qualifying service for pension starts from the beginning of initial service, which include period of service and annual increments; that the period of adhoc or contract service having 05 years or more at the credit of an employee, he is entitled to grant of pensionary benefits; That the benefits of annual



increments has been granted by the government w.e.f 2003 to 2012 to seven other employees and the appellant being similarly placed with them cannot be denied such benefit; and that the matter relates to terms and condition of service but the appellant has not been treated accordingly as far as the impugned action of the respondents is concerned which being against the facts and law on the subject is not tenable.

3. The respondents on notice of appeal have joined the proceedings and submitted their written reply, refuting the claim of the appellant with several factual and legal objections seeking dismissal of the appeal with costs.

Arguments have been heard and record perused.

5. The respondents in their written reply of the memorandum of appeal admitted the appointment of appellant as stated vide para-1 of the appeal. However, they while replying to para-2 of the appeal, asserted that the said notification-only allowed-the-regularity of appointment of appellant alongwith 07-others-rerstwhile-Illaqa-Qazi/EAC-BS=17-w.e.f. 17.03.2012-but does not

ATTESTED

11116

mention anything regarding increments. Undoubtedly, the appointment of the appellant and its subsequent regularization w.e.f. 17.03,2012 is admitted on behalf of the respondents. Still, they seem to have taken a different view as to entitlement of the appellant for increments simply for the reason that the notification dated 29.05.2012 regarding regularization of the appointment of 08 individuals including the appellant was silent regarding the increments. With this position of the case before us, the point for determination having is whether the appellant alongwith other similarly placed 07 emerged individuals holding the post in government service on contractual appointment is entitled for benefit of the period of service rendered on contract basis subsequent to regularization of their appointment made vide notification dated 29.05.2012. There seems no difficulty in determination of said point in positive when the august\_Supreme-Court\_of-Pakistan through rent pronouncements has held that the contractual employee is entitled counting of his service towards qualifying service for pension and the for

salary protection. Wisdom in this respect is drawn from an unreported judgment of the august Supreme Court of Pakistan passed on 08.02.2021 in C-PTNO. 1641-L/2018, titled "Chief Secretary, Government of the Punjab; Lahore etc. Vs. Perveen Shad, etc. Para 4 of the said judgment is worth reproduction herein below:-

"We have examined the said Notification and are of the view that clause 6 reproduced hereunder is not only harsh and unreasonable but also offends the right to livelihood and right to dignity ensured by the Constitution under. Article 9 and 14 of the Constitution. It is absurd to imagine that a contractual employee who has served the department for over nine years and has earned increments, upon regularization is taken back in time and given the initial salary on which he started his contractual service career almost a decade back. Regularization is a step up and must provide better terms and conditions of service, if not the same. Regularization cannot make the employee worse off by reducing his salary and going back in time by almost a decade and making the employee start all over again on his initial salary."

A Dump

ATTESTED,

6. <u>Needless to say that the</u> service of the appellant being continuous since his appointment in the year 1995 till his regularization vide notification dated 29.05.2012 has not been denied by the respondents. The regularization of the appointment of the appellant has taken effect on 17.03-2012 in continuity of his contractual service which cannot be envisaged as lacking the benefits of pay protection and pension etc. simply on whim of the respondents otherwise that regularization notification is not speaking so expressly. The government departments are supposed to be vigilant about the ground policy matters settled through judicial pronouncements to give relief to the government servants accordingly without compelling them to have resort to litigation. Such a lack of vigilance on part of government departments ultimately result into multiplicity cf

5 on one hand and also unnecessarily result in increase of workload on the other hand. We, therefore, hold that all the increments earned by appellant over period of his contractual service are countable as part of his salary at the time of regularization of his appointment. Accordingly, his pay is revisable to include into his salary all previously earned increments prior to regularization of the appointment made vide notification dated 29.12.2012. The appellant is held entitled for relief of increments in the given terms. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(ROZINA REHMAN) iembèr(J) (Camp Court, A/ABAD) ANNOUNCE 18.11.2021

Certified to be ture copy vice Tribunal funktiwa Peshawar

22 Oate of Presentation of Application Number of Words Copying Fee. Urgent D  $\mathbf{r}_{0}$ tat Mame of Copylest Date of Complection of Copy-Bate of Delivery of Copy

(AHMAD SULTAN TAREE) Chairman (Camp Court, A/ABAD)



## **IN THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

Muhammad Rehman Additional Assistant Commission/Registrar Consumer Court Abbottabad......Petitioner

## <u>VERSUS</u>

Govt. of KPK and others......Respondents

I Muhammad Rehman hereby appoint Sardar Muhammad Irshad, Advocate, in the above mentioned case, to do all or any of the following acts, deeds and things:-

- 1. To appear, act and plead for me/us in the above-mentioned case in this Court/Tribunal in which the same may be tried or heard, and any other proceedings arising out of or connected herewith.
- 2. To sign, verify and file appeals, petitions, suits, affidavits and applications for compromise or withdrawal or for referring to arbitration of the said case as may be deemed necessary or advisable by clients for the conduct, prosecution or defense of the said case at all its stages.

AND hereby agree:-

a. That the advocates shall be entitled to withdraw from the prosecution of the said case if the whole or any part of the agreed fee remained unpaid.

In witness whereof I/We have signed this Vakalatnama hereunder, the contents of which have been read/explained to me/us and fully understood by me/us.

Accepted by:-

Signature of Executant

Sardar Muhammad Irshad Advocate High Court 1A Gulistan Colony College Road, Abbottabad