

which order was challenged in service appeal, which was decided vide order dated 17.11.2021 wherein she was reinstated into service with all back benefits including arrears of salaries. CPLA filed by the respondents pending adjudication before august Supreme Court of Pakistan and possibility of acceptance of the same at this stage cannot be ruled out, therefore, petitioner is directed to submit surety bond within a fortnight to the respondent department to the effect that in case of success of CPLA filed by the respondents will be returned the received amount. To come up on 25.11.2024 before S.B. P.P given to the parties.



(RASHIDA BANO)

Member (J)

Camp Court, Abbottabad

Kaleem

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

E.P No. 590/2024

Safia Bibi Versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

S.No. of Order & Date of proceeding	Order or other proceedings with signature of Chairman/Member(s)/Registrar and that of parties or counsel where necessary
Order-05 30 th October, 2024.	<p>Present</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Mr. Hamayun Khan, Advocate on behalf of appellant.2. Mr. Syed Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney assisted by Mr. Adnan Shehzad, Litigation Officer and Mr. Safi Ullah, Focal Person for the respondents.3. Representative of respondents produce a copy of salary slip of the petitioner and stated that the salary of the petitioner had already been released. So far as arrears in respect of back benefits is concerned, they submit source form to District Account Office, Battagram which was returned with observation of insufficient budget sanction for which will be accorded by Finance Department. The matter was taken up with Director Finance DGHS vide letter dated 07.09.2023 requested to take bank surety bond from the petitioner because mater is still subjudice before the apex court which they duly communicated to the petitioner about furnishing the bank surety, which she had not yet submitted. He stated that matter is lingering on due to non-submission of surety bond by the petitioner and requested that she be directed to submit the same for further process.4. Learned counsel for the petitioner objected that she is unable to submit the same. It will not be out of place to mention here that petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 10.04.2018,