Service Appeal No.1075/2024.

Ex-Constable Muhammad Shafiq No. 6534 of CCP Peshawar...... Appellant.

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

Respondents.

Index

S.NO	DOCUMENTS	Annexure	PAGES
1.	Reply	,	1-4
2.	Authority		5
3.	Affidavit		6
4.	Charge Sheet	A	7
5.	Statement of allegations	В	8
.6.	Enquiry report	С	9-10
7.	Copy of Statement + FSCN	D	11

i

DSP/Legal, CCP, Peshawar.

Service Appeal No.1075/2024.

Ex-Constable Muhammad Shafiq No.6534 of CCP Peshawar...... Appellant.

<u>VERSUS</u>

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others......Respondents.

REPLY BY RESPONDENTS NO. 1, 2&3.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- 1. That the appeal is badly barred by law & limitation.
- 2. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary and proper parties.
- 3. That the appellant has not come to Hon'ble Tribunal with clean hands.
- 4. That the appellant has no cause of action and locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- 5. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 6. That the appellant has concealed the material facts from Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 7. That the appeal is not maintainable being devoid of any merit.

REPLY ON FACTS:-

- 1. Pertains to record.
- Incorrect. The performance of the appellant during service was neither satisfactory nor up to the mark and his involvement in a criminal case vide FIR No.193, dated 09.05.2022 u/s 9(D) KP CNSA PS Sarai Salih, District Haripur with a huge quantity of 03 KG & 700 grams Chars speaks volume of his inefficiency and criminal mindset.
- 3. Incorrect. The appellant was proceeded against departmentally on the charges of his involvement in a criminal case vide FIR No.193, dated 09.05.2022 u/s 9(D) KP CNSA PS Sarai Salih, District Haripur and also absented himself from his lawful duty w.e.f 09.05.2022 till date of dismissal. Acquittal in a criminal case would not lead to exoneration of a civil servant in departmental proceedings. His act brought a bad name for the entire force. The Supreme Court of Pakistan, in District Police Officer Mianwali and 2 others vs. Amir Abdul Majid (2021 SCMR 420), the Supreme Court ruled that a civil servant acquittal in criminal proceedings does not automatically secure his job. The Court emphasized that departmental inquiries can assess a civil servant conduct with a less stringent standard than criminal justice, allowing the department to consider factors beyond acquittal when determining job suitability.
- 4. Incorrect. Besides commission of Criminal Offence, the appellant being member of a disciplined department committed professional misconduct aliened with criminality which falls under moral turpitude as such the above act of the appellant is a bad stigma for the entire Police Force, which is against the norms of disciplined force resultantly, the appellant was suspended and departmental proceedings were initiated against the appellant and DSP Complaint, Peshawar was appointed as E.O to probe into the matter. The charge sheet with statement of allegations was issued to him vide No.80/E/PA dated 13.05.2022. The enquiry officer during the course of enquiry had completed the departmental proceedings and found

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Weivice Tribunal Diery No. 17683 Dated 06-11-24

the appellant guilty of the charges. (Copy of charge sheets, statement of allegations and enquiry report are attached as A, B, C& D).

- 5. Correct to the extent that a detailed departmental enquiry was conducted against him in accordance with law/rules. Enquiry officer after thorough probe into the matter reported that the charges against the appellant were proved beyond any shadow of doubt.
- 6. Incorrect. After receipt of the Enquiry Report, Final Show Cause Notice was issued vide No.80-E/PA, SP/HQrs: dated 01.11.2022 and delivered to him through local Police.
- Incorrect. After fulfilling all codal formalities, the charges leveled against him were proved; hence he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service vide OB No. 3118, dated 24.11.2022 under Police Rules 1975 (amended 2014).
- 8. Incorrect. The appellant filed departmental appeal, which was thoroughly processed and an ample opportunity of hearing was provided to the appellant by appellate authority but the appellant failed to rebut the charges leveled against him with plausible/justifiable grounds, hence his appeal was rejected/filed on facts vide order No.1840-46/PA dated 24.04.2023.
- Incorrect. The appellant then preferred revision petition before the Revision Board, which after due consideration was also filed/rejected because the charges leveled against him were proved beyond any shadow of doubt vide No. S/1708-12 dated 05.07.2024.
- 10. Incorrect. The appellant being a member of a disciplined force committed gross misconduct by involving himself in a heinous offence of trafficking narcotics, hence rightly punished in accordance with law/rules. Furthermore, appeal of the appellant being devoid of merits and limitation may be dismissed on the following grounds.

REPLY ON GROUNDS:-

- A. Incorrect. The punishment orders passed by the competent authority are legal/lawful and in accordance with law/rules and liable to be upheld.
- B. Incorrect. The charges against the appellant have been substantiated, indicating a breach of discipline within the force. Despite the acquittal in a criminal case, this does not automatically absolve a civil servant from accountability in departmental proceedings. In this instance, the appellant's involvement in a criminal case under section 9(D) KPCNSA constitutes a serious offense, falling within the realm of moral turpitude. Consequently, the gravity of the offense and its implications for the appellant's conduct as a member of a disciplined force cannot be overlooked.
- C. Para is totally incorrect and misleading as the appellant was issued charge sheet with statement of allegations due to involvement in the above mentioned allegations and Final Show Cause Notice was issued and delivered to him on his home address through local police. After fulfilling all codal formalities, the charges leveled against him were proved; hence he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service
- D. Incorrect. The Enquiry Officer visited Central Jail Haripur to inquire the matter thoroughly. During the inquiry, the appellant stated that he was traveling to Kaghan in motorcar IDH-2002. Upon reaching Haripur, within the jurisdiction of Police Station Sari Salih, local police stopped his vehicle, conducted a search, and discovered narcotics. The appellant claimed that he had no knowledge of how kept narcotics in his car and did not know who had placed them there. His

assertion raises questions about the circumstances surrounding the discovery of the drugs and his involvement in the matter. (Copy of Statement is annexed as E).

- E. Incorrect as explained in the preceding paras. Furthermore, proper departmental enquiry was conducted against him. During the course of enquiry the appellant was provided full opportunity of personal hearing. Involvement in a criminal case of trafficking of narcotics is a heinous offence and being a member of disciplined force he was liable to be proceeded departmentally hence after proof of charge, he was awarded penalty commensurate with his guilt/misconduct
- F. Incorrect. The charges leveled against him got proved. The appellant being a member of a disciplined force, committed gross misconduct. Acquittal in a criminal case would not ipso facto lead to exonerate Civil Servant in departmental proceedings. Involvement in a criminal case of 9(D) KPCNSA is a heinous offence comes under the ambit of moral turpitude.
- G. Incorrect as explained in the preceding paras. The appellant action, allegedly involving trafficking narcotics, starkly contrasts with the fundamental duties entrusted to law enforcement officer. Rather than upholding the paramount responsibilities of protecting life,
- property, and liberty, he is accused of engaging in activities that violate public trust and safety. Instead the appellant committed gross misconduct by indulging himself in moral turpitude offences of trafficking narcotics which speaks volume of his misconduct and unlikely of becoming a good police officer.
- H. Para is totally incorrect and misleading as the appellant was treated is in accordance with law/rules. After fulfilling all codal formalities, the charges leveled against him were proved; hence he was awarded major punishment of dismissal from service and liable to be upheld.

Prayers:-

ί

Keeping in view the above stated facts & reasons, it is most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant being devoid of merits and limitation, may kindly be dismissed with costs please.

Superinterident of Police HQrs: Peshawar. (Noor Jamal) (Respondent No.3) Incombent

Capit Police Officer,

Peshawar. (Qasim Ali Khan) PSP (Respondent No.02) Incumbent

τ. DIG/L ∕ezal,

For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Dr. Muhammad Akhtar Abbas)PSP (Respondent No.01) Incumbent

3

Service Appeal No.1075/2024.

Ex-Constable Muhammad Shafiq No.6534 of CCP Peshawar...... Appellant.

<u>VERSUS</u>

Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others......Respondents.

AUTHORITY.

We respondents are hereby authorize <u>Mr.Inam Ullah</u> DSP legal of Capital City Police, Peshawar to attend the Hon'ble Court and submit written reply, statement and affidavit required for the defense of above service appeal on behalf of respondent department.

Superintent Police HQrs: Peshawar. (Noor Jamal) (Respondent No.3)

apjtal 🗸 lice Officer, Peshawar.

Incumbent

(Qasim Ali Khan) PSP (Respondent No.02) Incumbent

DIG/Legal, For Provincial Police Officer, Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. (Dr. Muhammad Akhtar Abbas)PSP (Respondent No.01) Incumbent

Service Appeal No.1075/2024.

Ex-Constable Muhammad Shafiq No.6534 of CCP Peshawar...... Appellant.

<u>VERSUS</u>

AFFIDAVIT.

We respondent No. 02 and 03 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the written reply are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has concealed/kept secret from this Hon'ble Tribunal. It is further stated on oath that in this appeal, the answering respondents have neither been placed ex-parte nor their defense have been struck off.

Superintenden Police, HQrs: Peshawar.

(Noor Jamal) (Respondent No.3) Incumbent

apital Chyfy Hice Peshawar. lice Officer,

Peshawar. (Qasim Ali(Khan) PSP (Respondent No.2) Incumbent



CHARGE SHEET

I, Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar, as a competent authority, hereby, charge that <u>Driver Constable Shafig-ur-Rahman No.6534</u> of Capital City Police Peshawar with the following irregularities.

"That you <u>Driver Constable Shafic-ur-Rahman No.6534</u> while posted at City Patrol, Peshawar were involved in a criminal case vide FIR No.193 dated 09.05.2022 u/s 9DCNSA PS Sarai Salih District Haripur & also absented from lawful duty w.e.f <u>09.05.2022 till date.</u> This amounts to gross misconduct on your part and is against the discipline of the force."

You are, therefore, required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the Enquiry Officer committee, as the case may be.

Your written defence, if any, should reach the 'Enquiry Officer/Committee within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that have no defence to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

A statement of allegation is enclosed.

SUPERIN VEENT OF POLICE,

. I I

·-• i

「小子」 見らう 現下

ŧ.

A 6

FEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

Attested

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

13

B 7

I, Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar as a competent authority, am of the opinion that <u>Driver Constable Shafiq-ur-Rahman No.6534</u> has rendered him-self "able to be proceeded against under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules-1975

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATION

"That <u>Driver Constable Shafic-ur-Rahman No.6534</u> while posted at City Patrol, Peshawar was involved in a criminal case vide FIR No.193 dated 09.05.2022 u/s 9DCNSA PS Sarai Salih District Haripur & also absented from lawful duty w.e.f <u>09.05.2022 till date.</u>" This amounts to gross misconduct on his part and is against the discipline of the force."

For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations an enquiry is ordered and Officer.

2. The Enquiry Officer shall, in accordance with the provisions of the Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused officer, record his finding within 30 days of the receipt of this order, make recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

3. The accused shall join the proceeding on the date time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,

STO . _/E/PA, dated Peshawar the _____/ No. /2022 1- 2 amoleinta _____'s directed to

finalize the aforement oned departmental proceeding within stipulated period under the provision of Police Rules-1975. 2. Official concerned

COMPLAINTS & LOVE IN CCF, PESHAWAR

ΡΛ. The Silverimendent of Power HQrsi,

ENQUIRY AGAINST DRIVER CONSTABLE SHAFTO UP REHMAN Peshaw. 5 NO. 6534

DATE:

Memo:

Şuoject:-

o.

Kindly refer to your office Dy: No. 80/E/PA, dated 13.05.2022 on the

subject cited above.

ALLEGATIONS :-

"That Driver Constable Shafe Ur Rehman No. 6534 while posted at City Patrol, Peshawar was involved in a criminal case vide F.R. No. 193 dated 09.05.2022 u/s 9DCNSA PS Sarai Salih District Haripur and also absented from lawful duty w.e.f 9.05.2022 till date. This amounts to gross misconduct on his part and is against the aise or no of the force".

PROCEEDINGS ...

To dig out the real facts, the undersigned has visited to central jail Haripur and recorded the statement of alleged Driver Constable Shafe Ur Rehman No. 6534, in which he stated that on 09.05.2022 he was on Shabasi and demanded a motor car No IDH 2002 from his friend namely laved and set as de in and went to Kaghan to meet his friends there, when reached to Har pur in the jurisdiction of PS Sarai salih, where they had blockade, stop his car and start searching and recovered harcotics and ron stered case vide FIR Nr. 193 cated 09, 15,2022 Jr. 9DCNSA PS Sarai Salih. He do har't know that who have kept these harcel is in the cirt.

As per report of Moharrar City Patro Peshawar that on 09.05.2022 Driver Constable Shafiq Lr Rehman No. 6534 was on shabas. 02 days and today his arrival was expected but did timade arriva: report and marind as absent vide DD No. 03 Lated 09.05.2022 (copy attached). Furthermore or 12 05.20221nspector Tanveer 25 Sara, Saih District Hanpur has telephonically informed that Dilver Constable Shafiq dr Kehmin No 6534 posted at City Patro has bern arrested in narcotics there and registered care vide FIR No. 193 dated 09.05.2022 J/s 9DChISA PS Sara, Salih District

As per report of Inspector Tanveer Khan OII of IPS Sarai Salih District Haribur. Hanpur that on 09.05.2022 SHO Sadig Shah has recovered 3700 grain harcotics from this accused Muhammad Shafiq ur Rehman s/o Muhammad Ratic r/o Peshawar from his W No. 10H 2002 and arrested the accused and sent muras a to police station gistered case vide FIR vo.192 dated 09.05.2022 Lis 9DCNSA PS Sarat Salih and the interconation he was found that acrused was serving in Police Department. The

cused was challened to court and sent to central 13" mariour.

and the second

1

Keeping in view of the above facts, the undersigned reached to the conclusions that accused Driver Constable Shafig Ur Rehman No. 6534 was posted at ty Pat of Peshawar as Eriver in the off that vehicle No. AB 1312 in sector Town. He the on shan stor 07,03 LE.7022 and his arrive was expected on 09.05.2022. monarrar City Patro Peshawar has abserted him due to non arrive of report vide DD xc. 03 dated 09.05.2022. On 12.05.2022, Inspector Tanverr OII PS Sarai Salih has telephon taky informed his concern unit City Patro and told that accused Driver Shafig ir Rehman No. 6514 has been arrested in harcotics and remistered case vide FIR No. 93 dated of 05.2022 b/ 90CNSA PS Baral Salin Dis rich Hampur, As per statement of

27 124

and standards

Ņ.

٢

 $(a, b) = \{a_i, b_i\}$ Ś 1

MAWAHER POLICE PERINA YAUDONE & THEAL9MOD NSPECTOR

loseeis berrimdus

28231

ioseoja piouti-

ーリ

z second Driver Shafiq UR Rehman No. 5534 that he went to Kaghan to meet his friends accurate Driver Shafiq UR Rehman No. 5534 that he went to Kaghan to meet his friends of there acc from his friend namely laved. It is therefore, the undersigned is of the number of the undersigned is of the number of the undersigned is the number of the 5

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar, as competent authority, under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 do hereby serve upon you, Disciplinary Rules 1975 do hereby serve upon you, Driver Constable Shafiq-ur-Rahman No.6534 the final show cause notice.

The Enquiry Officer, Inspector Complaint and Enquiry, after completion of departmental proceedings, has declared you guilty of misconduct.

And whereas, the undersigned is satisfied that you <u>Driver</u> <u>Constable Shafiq-ur-Rahman No.6534</u> deserve the punishment in the light of the above said enquiry report.

And as competent authority, has decided to impose upon you the penalty of minor/major punishment under Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

1. You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

2. If no reply to this notice is received within 7 days of its receipt, in normal course of circumstances, it shall, be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case as ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

SUPERINTENDERT OF POLICE, HEADQUARTERT, PESHAWAR

₹ €

SO-E_/PA, SP/HQrs: dated Peshawar the U

Copy to official concerned

Attested .

/2022.

D-10

HEasted aire lending adjudication before to source in the control of the c March's no leave ber oner the award DSA Work ruounda 25 2000 200 de dependint 2010483640 2000 2000 and ano dependint 20104836401 8811905 GANEG Tool . Fort grand off of the states - (JO) & Criff & MO & (1000 - 3 3 5443 FA FA FAM - 9 M - 35 - 66 7 50 00 1 " TIME P. J. STRE 20 10(C.D. & SIMT J. W.SIM 17 6 100, 74, -0, 15, 5, 50, 58, 0, 56, 7, 51, 7, 5, 55, 56, 16 11 RAMPATION CAST OF SSE NOC SAVER FOR PIE - TOTS / HOI ST IM A (S) ON FO ON DAS TO ITS (FOR D) ARON J - 10 (6000 5,60' M 7, 50 01 5 524 21 7,50 65 AV77 4857 - White MOMENTO OF SED FOR OF STORE ALO L'EL CLOUTE E DE L'EL E PROSTO LE LE PROSTO L'EL E PROSTO L'EL EL PROSTO L'EL WU: Elmondel Ellower et es l'étéres et es l'abrille les Lair 2211 House Etrest HO173-08.0N (-2)