


05<sup>th</sup> Sept, 2024

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer-ud-Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Clerk to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy in Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 24/10/2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.



(Muhammad Akbar Khan)  
Member (Executive)



(Aurangzeb Khattak)  
Member (Judicial)

\*Naeem Amin\*

**ORDER**

- 24.10.2024
1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer ud Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General, for respondents present.
  2. Vide our detailed judgment of today placed on file in **Service Appeal No. 1920/2023** titled "**Azhar Ali Vs. C&W Department**, we are unison to accept the instant service appeal and the respondents are directed to grant the technical allowance or any other allowance having regard to the nature of their duties. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
  3. *Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2024.*



(KALIM ARSHAD KHAN)  
CHAIRMAN



(MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN)  
Member (E)

\*M.KHAN

**MEMO OF COSTS**  
**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

**Service Appeal No.1922/2023**

Date of presentation of Appeal      21.09.2023  
Date of hearing                              24.10.2024  
Date of Decision                              24.10.2024

Mr. Farhat Ali, SDO/Asst, Engineer C&W Department, Peshawar.

... (Appellant)

Versus

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary C&W Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

... (Respondents)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ACTS AND OMISSIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS BY NOT ALLOWING TECHNICAL ALLOWANCE TO B.TECH DEGREE HOLDERS AGAINST WHICH THE APPELLANT FILED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL VIDE DATED 23.05.2023 WHICH IS NOT YET RESPONDED DESPITE THE LAPSE OF 90 DAYS OF STATUTORY PERIOD.


**PRESENT**


1. Mr. Zartaj Anwar, Advocate for the appellant
2. Mr. Naseer Uddin Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents.

Appellants	Amount	Respondent	Amount
1. Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. Nil	1. Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. Nil
2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil	2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil
3. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil	4. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil
4. Security Fee	Rs.100/-	4. Security Fee	Rs. Nil
5. Process Fee	Rs. Nil	5. Process Fee	Rs. Nil
6. Costs	Rs. Nil	6. Costs	Rs. Nil
<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. 100</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. Nil</b>

Note: Counsel Fee is not allowed as the required certificate has not been furnished.

Given under our hand and the seal of this Court, this 24<sup>th</sup> day of October 2024.

  
(Muhammad Akbar Khan)  
Member (E)

  
(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman