


ORDER

25.10.2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Arshad Azam, Additional Advocate General for the respondents present.
2. Petitioner in the instant objection petition challenged order dated 23.09.2024 in accordance with which representative of respondents submitted that order of promotion of two Class-IV employees made vide order dated 14.03.2020 were wrongly made on the basis of provincial government policy dated 18.07.2019 which were basically employee of the Establishment Department in the Secretariat. In the Education Department the service rules of 2013 were in the filed during the promotion and are still in the field, therefore, order of the aforementioned two employees made by the District Education Officer (M) Bannu have been withdrawn and the departmental representative requested for production of copy of the same on the next date.
3. Perusal of above referred order reveals that no order was passed by the Tribunal and in para 2 of the order representative of respondents stated at the bar that vide order dated 18.07.2019 promotion order of the employees made by DEO(M), Bannu has been withdrawn, which is not order of this Tribunal, rather it was the statement of representative of respondents. If petitioner has any grievance, he can challenge order dated 18.07.2019 by availing departmental remedy provided under the law and objection petition is not proper course which he adopted in the instant case. In such a situation, instant objection petition is not competent being not maintainable, hence the same is dismissed accordingly. Consigned.
4. *Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 25th day of October, 2024.*


Rashida Bano
Member (J)