Service Appeal No.2441/2023 titled "Syed Alam Shah versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Fakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another", and Service Appeal No. 2442/2023 titled "Muhammad Arshad versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another" declared on 30.10.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan Charman, and Mrs. Rashida Buno, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar at Camp court, Abbottabad.

# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

BEFORE: KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CH RASHIDA BANO ... ME

... CHAIRMAN ... MEMBER(Judicial)

### Service Appeal No.2441/2023

Date of presentation of Appeal	21.11.2023
Date of Hearing	
Date of Decision	

Syed Alam Shah, S/O Sikandar Shah, Assistant, Office of the District Public Prosecutor, Mansehra.....(Appellant)

### Versus

1. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar.

2. Director General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar....(Respondents)

# Service Appeal No.2442/2023

Date of presentation of Appeal	21.11.2023
Date of Hearing	
Date of Decision	

Muhammad Arshad S/O Muhammad Hassan, Assistant, Office of the District Public Prosecutor, Battagram.....(Appellant)

### Versus

- 1. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar.
- 2. Director General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar....(Respondents)

### Present:

Mr. Sardar Muhammad Azeem, Advocate......For the appellants Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney ......For respondents

APPEALS UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 08.08.2023 AND FINAL SENIORITY LIST OF ASSISTANT YEARS 2023 IN WHICH REGULAR SERVICE OF THE APPELLANTS AGAINST THE POST OF ASSISTANT HAS BEEN **BPS-16** ACTING CONVERTED INTO ILLEGALLY CHARGE BASIS WHICH IS AGAINST LAW AND RULES AND LIABLE TO BE SET ASIDE.

## **CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT**

**KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN:** Through this single judgment, the above two appeals, are jointly taken up, as both are similar in nature and almost with the same contentions, therefore, can be conveniently decided together.

- 02. Appellants' cases in brief, as per averments of appeals are that they were promoted as Assistants (BPS-16) on regular basis vide order dated 11.02.2019; that after lapse of sufficient time, vide impugned promotion order dated 08.08.2023, the appellants were promoted to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) on regular/acting charge basis; that the order dated 08.08.2023 was challenged by the appellants through departmental appeals but the same were not responded, hence, the instant service appeals.
- 03. On receipt of the appeals and their admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned who put appearance and contested the appeals by filing replies. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellants.
- 04. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Deputy District Attorney for respondents.
- O5. The learned counsel for the appellants reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeals while the learned Deputy District Attorney District Attorney controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).



Service Appeal No.2441/2023 titled "Syed Alam Shah versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Fakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another", and Service Appeal No. 2442/2023 tuied "Muhammad Arshad versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another" declared on 30.10.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mrs. Rashida Bano, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar at Camp court, Abbottabad.

Of. The appellants were promoted to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) on a regular basis through an order dated 11.02.2019. Appellants' subsequent promotion order was issued on 08.08.2023, which stated that they were promoted to the same post (Assistant, BPS-16) on a regular/acting charge basis, creating ambiguity about the nature of their promotion. Feeling aggrieved by the change in the terms of their promotion, the appellants filed departmental appeals challenging the order dated 08.08.2023. Despite filing these appeals, no response was forthcoming from the respondents, prompting the appellants to approach the Tribunal with the present service appeals.

07. In the year 2019, the appellants were promoted on regular basis to the post of Assistant (BPS-16) and it is very strange to note that their case was placed before the DPC held in the year 2023 considering the appellants as Senior Clerks. Recommendations for their appointment on acting charge basis was made in the year 2023 without withdrawal of earlier order of promotion by any authority and without issuing any notice etc. Convening a second DPC and proceedings of the DPC, considering the appellants as Senior Clerks, is totally incomprehensible which has not been explained by the respondents anywhere nor during the course of arguments.

08. Therefore, the subsequent order is without any cogent reason, order of the competent/appellate authority regarding

Service Appeal No.2441/2023 tuled "Syed Alam Shah versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Honie & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another", and Service Appeal No. 2442/2023 titled "Muhammad Arshad versus Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and another" declared on 30.10.2024 by Division Beneli comprising of Mr. Kalam Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mrs. Rashida Bano, Member Judicial, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribanul, Peshawar at Camp court, Abbottabad.

earlier promotion order is still in the field, therefore, the impugned order, because of its unjustified, is not sustainable and is set aside. Costs shall follow the event. Copy of this judgment be placed on file of connected case. Consign.

09. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 30<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2024.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

Chairman

RASHIDĂ BANO

Member (Judicial)

\*Mutazem Shah\*



# KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL



Service Appeal No.244 of 2022

Syed Alam Shah

versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

S.No. of	
Order &	Order or other proceedings with signature of
Date of	Chairman/Member(s)/Registrar and that of parties or counsel where
proceeding	necessary
Order-07	Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman
30 <sup>th</sup>	_
October, 2024.	Present:
	1. Mr. Sardar Muhammad Irshad, Advocate, on behalf of appellant.
·	2. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney on behalf of respondents.
	Vide our detailed judgment of today, placed on file, the impugned
	order, because it is unjustified, is not sustainable and is set aside. Costs
	shall follow the event. Copy of this judgment be placed on file of
	connected case. Consign.
	2. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands
	and the seal of the Tribunal on this 30th day of October, 2024
	(Rashida Bano) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Member (J) Chairman
	*Admazem Shah*

### MEMO OF COSTS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

### Service Appeal No.2441/2023

Date of presentation of Appeal	21.10.2023
Date of hearing	30.10.2024
Date of Decision	30.10.2024

Syed Alam Shah, S/O Sikandar Shah, Assistant, Office of the District Public Prosecutor, Mansehra.....(Appellant)

#### <u>Versus</u>

1	. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department
	Peshawar.
2.	Director General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar(Respondents)
	(Respondents)

# SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

### PRESENT

- 1. Mr. Sardar Muhammad Irshad, Advocate, for the Appellant
- 2. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney, for respondents

Appellants	Amount	Respondent	Amount
Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. Nil	Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. NiI
2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil	2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil
3. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil	4. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil
4. Security Fee	Rs. 100/-	4. Security Fee	Rs. Nil
5. Process Fee	Rs. NiI	5. Process Fee	Rs. Nil
6. Costs	Rs. Nil	6. Costs	Rs. Nil
Total	Rs. 100/-	Total	Rs. Nil

Note: Counsel Fee is not allowed as the required certificate has not been furnished.

Given under our hands and the seal of this Court, this 30th day of October, 2024.

Rashida Bano Member (Judicial) alim Arshad Khai Chairman