Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| | Restoration Application No. | 1379/20 | 124 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----|
| Court of_ | | | |
| Court of | | | |

| ; | | Restoration Application No. 1379/2024 | | |
|-------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| S.No. | Date of order Proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| . 1. | 15.11.2024 | The application for restoration of Service Appea | | |
| | | No. 1227/2022 submitted today by Mr. Qaiser Hussain | | |
| | | Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Division Bench | | |
| | | at Peshawar on 22.11.2024. Parcha Pehsi given to | | |
| | | counsel for the applicant. | | |
| | | Py order of the Chairman | | |
| | | By order of the Chairman | | |
| | | REGISTRAR | | |
| • • | 1 | REGISTRIK | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | : | | | |
| | - | | | |
| | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA,

RAN0:-1379/2024

Appeal No.1227/2022

Imtiaz Khan..... **VERSUS** Regional Police Officer, Mardan and others......Respondents

INDEX

| S.No. | Description of documents. | Annexure | Pages. |
|-------|---|----------|--------|
| 1 | Application for restoration with affidavit. | | 1-2 |
| 2 | Attested copy of order dated 04.11.2024 | Α | 3-7 |

Dated: <u>15-</u>11/2024

16/1/01

Appellant

Through

Qaiser Hussain

&

Murad Ali Safi

Advocates,

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR.

RANO: 1379/2024

Appeal No.1227/2022

Khyber Palchtukhwa Service Tribunai

Diary No. 17974

Bate 15/1/24

Imtiaz Khan son of Umar Din Ex-Constable 2916 District Police, Mohmand......Appellant

VERSUS

- 1) Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 2) Inspector General of Police, KPK, Peshawar.
- 3) District Police Officer, MohmandRespondents

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ABOVE NOTED APPEAL DISMISSED IN DEFAULT ON 04/11/2024

Respectfully Sheweth;

Applicant submits as under:

- 1) That the above noted appeal was fixed on 04.11.2024 and dismissed in default. (Copy of order is attached).
- That earlier the titled appeal was fixed on 30.10.2024, which was adjourned due to non-availability of the senior counsel on the date fixed but no date was given because the case are being fixed online.
- That when on 04.11.2024 the undersigned/ counsel for appellant checked the case status at about 12:30 pm, it was revealed that the case has been fixed for 04.11.2024, but when the undersigned appeared before the hon'ble Tribunal, where he was informed that the case/ appeal has been dismissed in default, hence this application.
- 4) That the application is well within time from the date of knowledge.

- 5) That the non-appearance of the counsel as well as of the appellant is neither willful nor deliberate but due to the above mentioned reason.
- 6) That superior courts of the country always favour adjudication of the cases on merits rather than on technicalities and there is no legal impediment in the way of restoration of the case.

It is, therefore, requested that the above noted appeal may kindly be restored in the interest of justice and be decided on merits.

NOTE:

Wakalat Nama has already been placed on original file.

Through

Qaiser Hussain

Chappellant

&

Murad Ali Safi Advocates,

AFFIDAVIT

l, Imitaz Khan (appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

Ocale Hi

Deponent

3



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL. PESHAWAR

43/ 5/2022 MOY

Appeal No.1227 72022

Imtiaz Khan son of Umar Din Ex-Constable 2916 District Police, Mohmand.......Appellant

VERSUS

1) Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

2) Inspector General of Police, KPK, Peshawar.

3) District Police Officer, MohmandRespondents

APPEAL U/S 4 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL
ACT. 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED
13.06.2022 OF RESPONDENT NO.1
WHEREBY RESPONDENT NO.1
DISMISSED THE DEPARTMENTAL
APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT AGAINST
THE ORDER DATED 21.12.2021 OF
RESPONDENT NO.3 WHEREBY
APPELLANT WAS DISMISSED FROM
SERVICE.

A mi.

Prayer:

On acceptance of this appeal, that the appellant be reinstated into his service with all back benefits by setting aside order dated 13.06.2022 of respondent No.1 and order dated 21.12.2021 of respondent No.3.

Any other remedy which this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit in the circumstances of the case may kindly also be granted.

CALIFIER INDUKHWE

Į.

4

ķ

Respectfully Sheweth:-Appellant humbly submits as under:-

1) That initially the appellant was appointed Khasadar vide appointment dated 10.06.2013 in Shuhada Qouta. (Copy of order is attached).

2

- That after merger of tribal areas into settled the services of appellant was converted into Police as constable No.2916.
- That the appellant was serving the department to the best of his abilities for 09 longstanding years and has never given chance of displeasure to his superiors during his service.
- 4) That without any reason the alleged inquiry was initiated by the respondents against the appellant in his absence and neither any notice has been served nor any chance of fair opportunity of hearing was given to the appellant and ultimately major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed vide impugned order dated 21.12.2021 by respondent No.3. (Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegation and dismissed order dated 21.12.2021 are attached).
- 5) That the impugned order was not served/ communicated to the appellant rather after getting knowledge the same was obtained by the appellant on 12.04.2022 from the department after the lapse of almost 4 months.
- That being aggrieved, soon after receiving the impugned order the appellant preferred departmental appeal to respondent. No.1 against the dismissal order of appellant, which was also dismissed on 13.06.2022 without any solid reasons. (Copy of order is attached).
- 7) That now the appellant prefers the instant appeal, on the following grounds:

ATTESTED

Knyher Carring

(5)

GROUNDS.



- A. That the impugned orders of respondents No.1 and 3 are against the law, facts and available material on record, hence not tenable in the eyes of law and also violative of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.
- B. That no regular inquiry was conducted by the respondents to substantiate the so-called allegation viz-a-viz the willful absence of the appellant for a period of one year four months and twenty eight days which is a mandatory requirement of law before imposition of major penalty upon him.
- C. That since alleged inquiry was dispensed-with, therefore, no proper opportunity of proper defence was provided to the appellant to furnish justification with reasoning, hence his defence was materially prejudice, therefore, the competent authority as well as the appellate authority reached to an erroneous conclusion viz-a-viz the charge of willful absence from duty and that too for a period of 1 ½ year.
- D. That the impugned orders are also violative of section 24-A of General Clauses Act as the authorities failed to pass a speaking order with reasons.
- E. That no opportunity of personal hearing was afforded to the appellant which is a flagrant violation of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servant (E&D) Rules, 2011, hence the appellant was condemned unheard.
- F. That the respondents were legally bound to issue notice regarding absence of appellant/ employee and similarly publish notice in two leading newspapers according to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servant (E&D) Rules, 2011, but respondents failed to do so.
- G. That no statement of allegation or charge sheet was served upon the appellant before proving him guilty of the

EX TESTED

EX TAKITUKHWS

Knyber Tribunal

Service Tribunal

Service Beshawar



charges which factum is violative of the established principles of natural justice.

It is pertinent to mention that the so-called proceedings were carried out at the back of appellant, which is violative of mandatory provisions of Article 10-A of the Constitution of Pakistan.

- That the appellant has every right of reinstatement into his H. service to support his family.
- That appellant was dismissed from service without following I. the proper procedure.
- That appellant reserve the right to agitate any other ground at the time of arguments.
- That there is any delay in filing the instant appeal, which may kindly be condoned.

Keeping in view, what has been stated above, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that the appellant be reinstated into his service with all back benefits by setting aside order dated 13.06.2022 of respondent No.1 and order dated 21.12.2021 of respondent No.3.

Dated: 04.08.2022

Appellant

Through

Qaiser Hus:

Murad Ali Safi Advocates High\C

Cortified to be ture copy

Service Tribunal.

Pesnawar

I, do hereby affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing material has been concealed from this hon ble Tribunal.

Note
As per instruction of my client no such like appeal has alread been file before this Housele

Deponent

| Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Se | ce)Înbunat, Peshawar |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Application No6 | Date 12-11-24 |
| Name of Applicant | Margal Ali |
| Number of Words/Pages | 4-1 |
| Copying Fee | 26/- |
| Urgent/Ordinary | 5/- |
| Total | 23/-1 |
| Name & Sign of Copyrat | 2eesk my |
| Data of Completion of Copy- | 12-11-24 |
| Date of Delivery of Copy | 12-11-24 |

(\$)

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Service Appeal No. 1227/2022

Imtiaz Khan

versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Cshawa

