Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the set of	ŋ
Implementation Petition No.	801 /2024

	, Im	plementation Petition No. 801/2024		
S.No.	Date of order proceedings			
1	2	3		
1	1 29.07.2024 The implementation petition of Mr. N			
- .		submitted today by Syed Noman Alí Bukhari Advocate. It		
		is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on 31.07.2024. Original file be requisitioned.		
-		AAG has noted the next date. Parcha Peshi given to counsel for the petitioner.		
		By the order of Chairman		
	-			
,				
	1			
		•		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

EXECUTION PETITION No. 80/ /2024 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.144 26 2019

Momin Khan

VS

Police Deptt etc.

INDEX

S.No.	Documents -	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
2	Copy of Judgment dated 13.5.2024	- A-	03-09
3.	Copy of application 19.3.2024	- B -	10
4.	Vakalat Nama		11

APPLICANT

Aom)n Khan

THROUGH:-

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)

Advocate Supreme Court Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI

Advocate, High Court Peshawar.

(HILAL ZUBAIR)
ADVOCATE PESHAWAR.

Room No.FR-08, 4th Floor, Bilour Plaza Peshawar Cantt: Cell # 0312-9103240

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

EXECUTION PETITION No.____

IN

SERVICE APPEAL NO.144/2019

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Dated 29-07-2024

Mr. Momin Khan Ex-ASI, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elite Force.

(APPELLANT)

VERSUS.

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. District Police, Nowshera.
- 3. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.
- 4. The Additional Inspector General of Police/Commandant Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Additional Inspector General of Police, CTD, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. The Deputy Commandant Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(RESPONDENTS)

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 13.05.2024 OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the applicant/appellant filed Service Appeal No. 1465/2023 in this august Tribunal against the orders dated 13.03.2018 & 11.01.2019 whereby the appellant was dismissed from Service.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on dated 13.05.2024 and the Honorable Tribunal was kind enough ("the appeal in hand is partially allowed by setting aside the impugned orders and reinstate the appellant into service, however period he remained out of service during custody will be treated as leave without pay".) (Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).



- 3. That the appellant filed application to the competent authority on dated 22.06,2024 for implementation of the judgment of Honorable Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, in the above mentioned appeal but in vain. (Copy of the application dated 22.06,2024 is attached as annexure B.)
- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondent after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 6. That the petitioner has having, no other remedy to file this Execution Petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to obey the judgment dated 13.05.2024 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favor of applicant/petitioner.

00

Momin Khan

THROUGH:

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)

Advocate Supreme Court
Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)

Advocate, High Court Peshawar.

(HILAL ZUBAIR) ADVOCATE PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the content of the execution petition is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

A (3)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.144/2019

BEFORE:

MRS. RASHIDA BANO ...

MEMBER (J)

MISS. FAREEHA PAUL ...

MEMBER (M)

Mr. Momin Khan Ex-ASI, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Elife Force.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. District Police Officer, Nowsherm.

3. Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

4. The Additional Inspector General of Police/Commandant Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5. The Additional Inspector General of Police, CTD, Khyber

Pakhtuakhwa, Peshawar.

6. The Doputy Commandant Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

Peshawar.

(Respondents)

Roceda Khan

Advocaté

For appellant

Mr. Asif Masaooti Ali Shah

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

 Date of Institution.
 30.01.2019

 Date of Hearing.
 13.05.2024

 Date of Decision.
 13.05.2024

JUDGMENT

RASHIDA BANO. MEMBER (I): The instant service appeal has been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunat, Act 1974 with the prayer copie of as below:

"On acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 13.03.2018 and 11.01.2019 may kindly be set aside and the appellant of may kindly be reinstated in service with all back benefits. Any other

ATTESTED

A Tennedukulen Wilsher Rakusuka son Sarvice Prissusus

4

remedy which this August Tribunal deems fit that may also be be granted in favour of appellant.

- Brief facts of the case are that appellant was appointed as Conscable in 2. the crimes investigation department on 05.04.1995. He was promoted to the rank of Head Constable in the 2005/06 and was further promoted to the rank of ASI, Later on he was transferred on deputation to Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. During service, he was implicated in a criminal case under section 302/324/148/149 PPC read with 7 ATA, vide FIR No. 794 dated 08.09.2010 of PS Pabbi Nowshera and another case under section 17/3 offences against property ordinance 1979 R/W 324/148/149/411 PPC 13 AO vide FIR No. 81 dated 81 01.02.2013 of PS Lahor Swabi. Later on he was acquitted in both the criminal cases by the competent court of law. When he went to joined his duty, he came to know that he was dismissed from service vide order dated 19.11.2012. After the submission of departmental appeal and rejection order against the impugned order he filed service appeal No. 1299/2015 which was accepted on 19.10.2017 and respondents were directed to conduct denovo inquiry within three months. Thereafter, he filed execution petition on 16.10.2018 he came to know that regarding dismissal order dated 13.03.2018. He filed departmental appeal which was rejected on 11.01.2019, hence the present instant service appeal.
- 3. Respondents were put on notice, who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Deputy District Attorney and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.
- 4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that appellant has not been preated in accordance with law and rules; that no charge sheet, statement of



(E)

allegation and final show cause notice has been issued by the respondent department on the involvement in criminal case; that no regular impairy has been conducted by the respondent and no chance of personal hearing has been provided to the appellant and he was condemned unheard; that appellant has been reinstated upon the direction of service tribunal on 19.10.2017 by the respondent department but without issuing codal formalities he was dismissed from service on 13.03.2018 which void and illegal. He requested that instant appeal might be accepted.

- been treated in accordance with law and rules; that appellant was was dismissed from service on account of his involvement in criminal cases. He was proceeded against departmentally during the course of which he was called time and again be he did not bother to appear before the enquiry officer despite the fact that the he did not bother to appear before the inquiry despite the fact that the he did not bother to appear before the inquiry despite the fact that in order to ensure his appearance, he was informed through newspaper notice published in daily "Aaj" but fiaseo. Therefore, after fulfillment of all legal and codal formalities major penalty of dismissal was imposed upon him.
- 6. Perusal of record reveals that appellant was initially appointed as Constable in the Crimes Investigation Department, now Counter Terrorism Department (CTD), on 05.04.1995. Ever since his appointment, the appellant had performed his dut. as assigned with zeal and devotion and there was no complaint. He was promoted as Head Constable in the year 2005/06 and then later on promoted as ASI. Appellant was later on transferred on deputation to the Elite Force, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The appellant, alongwith some other family members, was implicated in a criminal case ander section 302/324/148/149 PPC read with 7 ATA, vide FIR No.794 dated 08.09.2010 of Police Station Pabbi, Nowshera and another case u/s 17/3 offences

against property ordinance 1979 R/W 324/148/149/411 PPC/13 AO vide FIR No.81

ATTESTED

dated 01.02.2013 of PS Lahor, Swabi. The appellant duly informed his department about his implication in criminal case. Later on he has been acquitted in the above criminal cases. After acquittal, appellant duly reported for duty in the respondent department but he has been dismissed from service on 19.11.2012 by the respondent department. Appellant filed service appeal No. 1299/2015 against the impugned order which was accepted on 19.10.2017 and appellant has been reinstated into service and case was sent to respondent department to conduct de-novo inquiry within a period of three months. Due to non-implementation of the judgment the appellant filled execution petition No.30/2018 against the respondent department. During proceeding of execution perition on 16.10.2018, the appellant came to know regarding dismissal order dated 13.03.2018.

- Perusal of de-novo inquiry report reveals that enquiry officer in his report mentioned that he summoned Inspector Alam Zeb Khan, Inspector Hidayat ur Rehman. SI Fazal Miraj and SI Wafadar Khan but none of them appeared before inquiry officer for recording their statements to support the involvement of the appellant in the criminal cases. Moreover complainant of the said criminal cases also not appeared before inquiry officer to support the factum of guilt or involvement of the appellant in criminal cases. The most important factor is appellant is acquired in the criminal cases on the basis of which charge sheet was issued to him.
- It has been held by the Apex Court that all acquittals are certainly honorable. There can be no acquittal, which may be said to be dishonorable. Implication of the appellant in the criminal cases was the only ground on which he had been diswissed from service and the said ground had subsequently disappeared through his acquittal, making him re-emerge as a fit and proper person entitled to continue with his service.
- It is established from the record that charges of involvement in the crimical case ultimately culminated in honorable acquittal of appellant by the competent Court and

Law in the above-mentioned criminal cases. In this respect, we have sought guidance from 1988 P.L.C (C.S) 179, 2003 S.C.M.R 215 and P.L.D 2010 Supreme Court 695.

- 10. For what has been discussed above, the appeal in hand is partially allowed by setting aside the impugned orders and reinstate the appellant into service, however period he remained out of service during custody will be treated as leave without pay. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 11. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 13th day May, 2024.

(FANZEHA PAUL) Member (E)

(RASHIDA BARO)
Member (J)

*M.Klaya

37.7

And the Control of th

Date of Presentation of Application 19-29

Number of Words

Copying Fee.

Name of Copylest -

Date of Complettion of Copy

Date of Delivery of Copy 17-7-1

ATTESTED

(8)

08th May, 2024

- 1. Appellant alongwith his counsel present. Mr. Ijaz Khan, DSP (Legal) alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.
- 2. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks some time for preparation of brief. Granted. To come up for arguments on 13.05.2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (Executive) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

ORDER 13.05.2024

*Magein Amar

- Learned counsel for the appellant present, Mr. Mohammad Lan fearned District Attorney for the respondents present.
- 2. Vide our detailed judgement of today placed on file, the appeal in hand is partially allowed by setting aside the impugned orders and reinstate the appellant into service, however period he remained out of service during custody will be treated as leave without pay. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 13th day May, 2024.

(FAREIJIA PAUL) Mentber (E)

(RASHIDA BANO)
Micmber (J)

*M.Khan

ATTESTED

2 Nov, 2023 F. Learned counsel for the appelrant present Mr. Muhammad-Jan, learned District Attorney for the respondents present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not made preparation for arguments.

Adjourned: To come up for arguments on 10.01.2024 before I) B.

P.P. given to the parties.

POTTEN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE

(Muhammad Akbar Khan) Member (E) (Rashida Bano) Member (J)

. •

10.01.2024 1. Clerk of learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr.

Muhammad Jan learned District Attorney for the respondents

present.

2. Clerk of for appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is busy before Hon ble Peshawar.

Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 08.05.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Rashida Bano) Member (J) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

· Kaleemullat

ALTESTED

- opinj die objet on by bing - opinj bing of B (10) عنوان ز درجو است کرار کالی الرارش بي كر ساصل كه بحوالم آردر بيت عمر عاد مورخ هامة 13 كولوشمور سي سالور لولين المريزاه ني لران س برقاست الما- لها. 145/295 i JU dy 1 op dy 1 j orgon 2 d lu cillo d'ous Nai co co J Dha a jas - 1/1 & 13-5-2024 is a 195. البرا استرما هيد سائل كوموالتي ضيدكي روشي - بي دورت الریال که افغا مات منادر فرماشی -EXAST OLD

ATTESTED ATTESTED

VAKALAT NAMA

NO. _____/20

IN THE COURT OF LA Service Tribural	Pezhawan
Momin khan	(Appellant) (Petitioner)
	(Plaintiff)
VERSUS	•
Police Depi:	(Respondent) (Defendant)
I/We, Momin khan	<u> </u>

Do hereby appoint and constitute *Mr. M. Asif Yousafzai, ASC & Syed Noman Ali Bukhari AHC* to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated 29/7 /2024

(CLIENT)

ACCEPTED

ארין) אינטאל M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI, ASC,

SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate High Court Peshawar

Hiral ZUBain yous472ai Adovcare Peshawan

Room # FR-8, 4th Floor, Bilour Plaza, Peshawar, Cantt: Peshawar 03129103240