Form-A

Г	OKM	Or	OKUE	K 2H	

Restoration Application No. 802/2024

	•	
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1.	29.07.2024	The application for restoration service appeal
		No. 8829/2020 submitted today by Uzma Syed
	'	Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Division Bench
		at Peshawar on 31.07.2024. Original file be
		requisitioned. Parcha Peshi given to the counsel for
		the applicant.
:	*	
		By the order of Chairman

REGISTRAK

The application for restoration of appeal no. 8829/2020 submitted to-day by Uzma Syed Advocate is incomplete on the following score which is returned to her for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- In the memo of application some texts are missing.

____/Inst./2024/KPST,

Uzma Sved Advocate. High Court Peshawar.

29-7-2024

BEFORE THE HON'BLE FEDERAL SERVICE TRIBUNAL ISLAMABAD

R.A No.	807	_/2024
In	e e e e	
S.A No.	1	8829/2020

Saqib Waqas

VERSUS

Police Department

INDEX

S#	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Memo of Application with affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order	A	3-4
3.	Wakalat Nama		5

APPELLANT

Through

(UZMA SYED)

Advocate, High Court Peshawar.

BEFORE THE KP, SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

RAMOS Service Appeal No. 8829/2020

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

Diary No. 14527

Saqib Waqas S/o Adin Ullah Ex-Police Constable Police R/o Kahagzai Kohat.

Dated 25-07-2024

PETITIONER

VERSUS

- 1. The inspector General of Police Khybor Pakhtunkhwa. Peshawan
- 2. The Deputy inspector General of police Kohat Region, Kohat.
- 3. The District Police Officer, Kohat.

RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF SERVICE APPEAL NO. 8829/2020 WHICH WAS DISMISSED ON DEFAULT VIDE ORDER DATED 12.07.2024.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the instant appeal was pending before this august Tribunal which was fixed for arguments on 12.07.2024.
- 2. That on 12.07.2024, the counsel for the appellant was proceeded to her native village and could not attend the case, due to which the appeal was dismissed in default.
- 3. That the appellant has good prime facie case, if decide on merit.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the appeal may kindly be restored which was dismissed in default and decide the same on must. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that may also be awarded in favour of the appellant.

ٹاقعیہ وٹاھی APPELLANT

THROUGH:-

(UZMA SYED) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT.



AFFIDAVIT

It is affirmed and declared that the contents of application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

تاقب،(ناھی DEPONENT

23/7/2

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTOON KHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PE

Appeal No. 8829/2020

Saqib Waqas S/o Adin ullah Ex-Police Constable Police R/o Kahagazai Koha



VERSUS

INSPECTOR GENERAL POLICE KPK PESHAWAR.

2. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KOHAT REGION KOHAT

3 DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER KOHAT

(Respondent)

APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 26-11-2019 VIDE O.B NO 1499
IN WHICH UPON THE EX-PARTE FINDINGS OF ENQUIRY OFFICER DIRECTLY IMPOSED THE
MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL JUSTIFICATION
AND THE APPELLANT PREFERRED DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION WHICH WAS NOT
CONSIDER AND THROUGH RELIABEL SOURCE THE APPELLANT ON 9-06-2020 THAT
RESPONDENT NO 2 NOT ENTERTAIN AND REJECTED.

Pray:

In view of above submission it is requested, by accepting of instant service appeal ilegate impumpugned order of Respondent No-3 may please be set aside and the present appellant service may please be re-instate with all back benefits.

Registrar

Respectfully Sheweth,

With great veneration the instant appeal is preferred by the appellant on the following grounds:-

Briefly facts as per impugned order are that as reported by SHO PS-city by DD-No-26. dated 17-08-2019 that the appellant was transferred from PS-Billitang to PS City but the appellant did not made arrival as yet and still absent. (Copy of impugned order is annexed as annexure A)

That the as per impugned order the appellant was served with charge sheet and FCN but same were not served to the appellant nor there is any proof regarding departmental enquiry proceedings.

That the appellant had tender application regarding his severe illness and also approduce medical reports in original shape but this fact were ignored by respondent No-3 while awarding impugned punishment order (Copy of application along with medical reports is annexed as annexure B).

That the appellant is very dedicated keen and apprehensive towards his assign duly having long service upto ten years but this factor has not been appreciated while was blessing with impugned order.

Denistrary 8 201

A. No. 8829 Non Sur16 warks 15 Gart

ORDER 12th July 2024 Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Nobody present on beha

of the appellant. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

- 2. The case was called several times but neither appellant nor his counsel turned up before the Tribunal, till its rising. Therefore, the appeal in hand is dismissed in default. Consign.
 - 3. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal this 12th day of July, 2024.

(Rashida Bano) Member(J)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

rankling

Knyber Piktitikhwa Service Tribunai

of Amilica	ation
Date of Presentation of Applica	
Number of waspage 2	The second secon
Copying Fee	garage and the common depolation of the second seco
Urgent	and the second s
Total	1 trust
Name of Copylesi	23-7-23.
Date of Company	~ 1 7 1/h
Date of Delivery of Copy	