MEMO OF COSTS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.1155/2022

Date of presentation of Appeal 27.07.2022
Date of hearing 14.11.2024
Date of Decision 14.11.2024

Qaiser Zaman Working Superintendent Mardan.

.....(Appellant)

Versus

- 1: The Secretary PHE, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary Establishment Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.

PRESENT

- 1. Mr. Akhtar Ilyas, Advocate, for the Appellant
- 2. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for respondents

Appellants	Amount	Respondent	Amount
Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. Nil	Stamp for memorandum of appeal	Rs. Nil
2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil	2. Stamp for power	Rs. Nil
3. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil	4. Pleader's fee	Rs. Nil
4. Security Fee	Rs. 100/-	4. Security Fee	Rs. Nil
5. Process Fee	Rs. Nil	5. Process Fee	Rs. Nil
6. Costs	Rs. Nil	6. Costs	Rs. Nil
Total	Rs. 100/-	Total	Rs. Nil

Note: Counsel Fee is not allowed as the required certificate has not been furnished.

Given under our hands auththe seal of this Court, this 14th day of November, 2024.

Rashida Bane, Member (Judicial) Kalim Arshad Khan Chairman

	amendments. Costs shall follow the event. Copy of this order be placed on		
•	file of connected cases. Consign.		
	5. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands		
	and the seal of the Tribunal on this 11th day of November, 2024		
	(Rashida Bano) (Kalim Arshad Khan) Member (J) Chairman		

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Service Appeal No.1155/2022

Qaiser Zaman

versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

S.No. of Order & Date of proceeding	Order or other proceedings with signature of Chairman/Member(s)/Registrar and that of parties or counsel where necessary
Order-24 14 th November, 2024.	Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman Present:
2024.	1. Mr. Akhtar Ilyas, Advocate, on behalf of appellants.
	2. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney on behalf of respondents.
	3. Through this single order this appeal and the connected Service
	Appeal Nos: 1156/2022, and 1158/2022 are being decided as all are of
	similar nature
	4. Learned counsel for the appellants referred to copy of working paper
	for Standing Service Rules Committee (SSRC) meeting and according to
	him, meeting was scheduled for 29.11.2024 to consider the proposals of the
	department, wherein, quota for promotion to the post of Working
	Superintendent was two percent. He stated that in other departments, the
	said quota is more than the proposed quota of the respondent department.
	Since the SSRC meeting is already scheduled for amendment in the Service
	Rules of the respondent department employees, therefore, while disposing
	of the appeals in hand, we let the appellants to make applications to the
	SSRC for share in the promotion quota. The appellants are, however, also
	at liberty to challenge the Rules if they are not satisfied with the new