

MEMO OF COSTS
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No.1328/2022

Date of presentation of Appeal 07.09.2022
Date of hearing 11.11.2024
Date of Decision 11.11.2024

Tariq Khan son of Abdul Wahid Khan PHE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.

.....(*Appellant*)

Versus

1. **Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Public Health Engineering, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.**
2. **The Chief Engineer (Center), Public Health Engineering Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,, Peshawar**

Respondents)

—
SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974.


PRESENT


1. Mr. Akhtar Ilyas, Advocate, for the Appellant
2. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for respondents

| Appellants | Amount | Respondent | Amount |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Stamp for memorandum of appeal | Rs. Nil | 1. Stamp for memorandum of appeal | Rs. Nil |
| 2. Stamp for power | Rs. Nil | 2. Stamp for power | Rs. Nil |
| 3. Pleader's fee | Rs. Nil | 4. Pleader's fee | Rs. Nil |
| 4. Security Fee | Rs. 100/- | 4. Security Fee | Rs. Nil |
| 5. Process Fee | Rs. Nil | 5. Process Fee | Rs. Nil |
| 6. Costs | Rs. Nil | 6. Costs | Rs. Nil |
| Total | Rs. 100/- | Total | Rs. Nil |

Note: Counsel Fee is not allowed as the required certificate has not been furnished.

Given under our hands and the seal of this Court, this 11th day of November, 2024.




Rashid Jano
Member (Judicial)


Kalim Arshad Khan
Chairman

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Service Appeal No.1328 of 2022

Tariq Khan versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

| S.No. of Order & Date of proceeding | Order or other proceedings with signature of Chairman/Member(s)/Registrar and that of parties or counsel where necessary |
|---|--|
| <p>Order-21 11th November, 2024.</p> | <p><u>Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman</u></p> <p>Present:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Mr. Akhtar Ilyas, Advocate, on behalf of appellant.2. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney on behalf of respondents.3. The matter was negotiated through Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) between the learned counsel for appellant and learned District Attorney with the efforts of the Court. After negotiations, learned counsel for the appellant and learned District Attorney agreed on the point that let the matter be sent to the department to pass a clear order after ascertainment of the fact as to whether the appellant had 10 years qualifying service for the desired relief or not. If he had, then the pensionary benefits provided under the law and rules may be granted and if not, a detailed order in that respect be passed.4. Disposed of through Alternate Dispute Resolution. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.5. <i>Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 11th day of November, 2024</i> <p> (Rashida Bano) Member (J)</p> <p> (Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman</p> |