



Ihsan Ul Haq

S.No. of Order & Date of proceeding	Order or other proceedings with signature of Chairman/Member(s)/Registrar and that of parties or counsel where necessary
Order-03 15 <sup>th</sup> May, 2025	<p><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p><b><u>IKHTIAR KHAN, CHAIRMAN:</u></b></p> <p>1. Mr. Javed Iqbal Gulbela, Advocate, on behalf of petitioner and Mr. Umair Azam, Additional Advocate General along with Mr. Qaiser Khan Superintendent for the respondents present.</p> <p>2. The implementation petition order dated 14.05.2025 in compliance of this Tribunal order dated 25.05.2023 passed in Appeal No.718 of 2020 and 764 of 2018 titled Ihsan Ullah Versus Board of Revenue Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Senior Member Board of Revenue, produced, according to which, the petitioner/appellant is placed in the seniority list of Kanungos, District Peshawar, after Syed Tajamul Hussain and before Qaisgher Ud Din and others, in the seniority list.</p> <p>3. Although, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the name of petitioner should have been placed before Tilawat Ur Rehman and Syed Tajamul Hussain, mentioned in the seniority list for the year 2013, however, when the learned counsel for petitioner was confronted with the seniority list for the year 2013, which was considered as corrected by the petitioner in his service appeal, it was transpired that both, Tilawat Ur Rehman and Syed Tajamul Hussain were senior to the petitioner. The former was placed at Serial No.40 and the later was at Serial No.47 of the seniority list and the petitioner was at Serial No.48. Thus, judgment passed by this Tribunal in</p>

**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL**

Execution Petition No.256/2025

Ihsan Ul Haq

versus

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

the appeal in question for correction of seniority list of the petitioner has been complied with.


4. Learned counsel for the petitioner was also of the view that in Appeal No.718/2020, the petitioner had opportunity for antedated promotion w.e.f 19.12.2016, which was also allowed, however, the concluding para of the consolidated judgment is read as:

*“As to the connected appeal No.718/2020 of the appellant vide which he is seeking antedation of promotion w.e.f 19.12.2016, the learned counsel for the appellant says that the result of the seniority appeal would also determine the fate of the connected appeal. So we dispose of the connected appeal with the direction to the competent authority to consider the prayer made in the connected appeal subject to his otherwise entitlement for such consideration and as regard the seniority issue that has been resolved in this appeal as above. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.”*

5. Thus the question of antedated promotion w.e.f 19.12.2016 has to be seen/considered by the official respondents. Moreover, the petitioner in his service appeal No.764/2018 has claimed this seniority from eight private respondents and Tilawat Ur Rehman and Syed Tajamul Hussain were not included, meaning thereby, that for obvious reasons, that these two officials were placed senior in the seniority list of 2013.

6. Disposed of accordingly. Consign.

7. *Pronounced in open Court and given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2025.*

  
(Ikhtiar Khan)  
Chairman

\*Adutazem Shah\*